## David vs. Goliath, part II

## Presented by Paul Kinzelman

pkinz@loc3.tandem.com Compuserve: 74640,1122

## Introduction

#### • Welcome

- Was Consulting Engineer at Digital Equip Corp
- Currently Software Engineer at Tandem Computer
- Member of Digital Employees Federal Credit Union Board of Directors
- Progress is change
- But powerful people often resist change
  - "New science accepted when old scientists die off"
  - Initiating change is a significant problem
  - Technology can facilitate
- This talk describes a small group of dissidents standing up to bureaucrats

## Outline

- Background
- Campaign milestones
- Reflections on
  - The process
  - Networked communications
  - Being successful
- Ideas for legislative change

## Background

#### • DEC = Digital Equipment Corp

- One of the largest computer companies
- Intra-company electronic network and bulletin boards
- DEC is very bureaucratic and political
  - » "Do the right thing" has become "Have the right image"
  - » Management/employee adversarial relationship

#### • DCU = Digital Employees Federal Credit Union

- Created in 1980
- Fraud began in 1986 (\$250M in assets)
- Extent of fraud was \$18M (approx 100% of equity)
- Successful because of land appreciation and lack of controls
- Board of Directors were high level DEC managers and VPs
  - » All "well qualified"
- Members were apathetic
- DCU claimed "conservative lending policies"

## The Awakening: 1990 - 1991

#### • Real estate values began flattening

– Fraudulent loans began "non performing"

#### • NCUA (National Credit Union Admin) took notice

- More money for loan loss allowance (hidden on financials)

#### • NCUA discovered loans were fraudulent

- Collapse of an involved second credit union
- (NCUA had ignored tip-offs as early as 1987)
- DCU one large loan away from failure
- DCU BoD quietly fired the president
- DCU not forthcoming with information
  - Insulting fee introduction
  - Contradictory annual report 1989/1990
  - Denial of auditor note access

## The Call to Arms: Fall 1991

- Met with BoD at headquarters
- Information protection policy
- Formation of electronic mailing interest list
- Formation of a core group
- Found bylaw "special meeting" clause
  - 1200 signatures in 3 days and 15 locations worldwide
- Signature gathering in cafeterias for
  - Elimination of checking account fees
  - Removal of the entire board of directors
  - New elections
- DEC VP endorsed the BoD in the name of DEC
  - Refused to speak to us to justify the endorsement

## The Battle: Nov 23, 1991

#### • Special meeting stacked against us

- Room size "confidential information"
- DCU meeting prior to special meeting
- DCU counsel was "independent parliamentarian"
- We were prevented from speaking
- Voting was not secret
- Proxy voting was not allowed
- Ballots counted only by DCU employees
- 1500 members showed up!
- We thought we were finished
  - 43 members applied for 7 positions

## The Campaign: Winter 1991/1992

8

• But wait! There's more!

#### • Nomination committee was stacked

- 9 nominees including 2 incumbents
- All hand-picked high level DEC managers
- Previously qualified candidate was turned down

#### • Initiation of candidates by petition

- more signature gathering
- We purchased BoD minutes
  - "Educational Conferences" in Bermuda
  - All votes in 5 years were unanimous
  - Special meeting bylaw changed 200->5000 signatures
- Electronic network disseminated news
- Publicity in newspapers helped also

## The Victory: Spring 1992

#### • "Martin Luther" document published

- Listing of abuses and unanswered questions
- DEC Personnel attempted to suppress us
  - » Selective personnel policy enforcement
- Candidate statement book stacked
- Senior VP of DEC spent DEC's \$25K against us
- DCU employees feared for their jobs
- Grass roots campaigning in cafeterias
- Police present at election results!
- We won 4 out of 7 seats!
  - No incumbents re-elected

## **Reflections on bureaucrats**

#### • Destructors of modern civilization

- "Peter Principle" at work
- Lack of ethics, integrity, and common sense
- Lack of personal accountability
  - Can hide behind institution walls
- Will fight to maintain control of the Titanic
- Reflects the state of decay of organization
- Professional life not consistent with personal life
- Bureaucrats are nothing without people to serve
  - Individuals bear some responsibility
  - Dictators successful only because of support
- Challenge: how to prevent bureaucratic infestation
  - install accountability into management

## **Reflections on the process**

#### • Information dissemination was strategic weapon

- Old style management controlled the old "high ground"
- We used the network as the new cheap high ground
- New technology overpowered old style bureaucracy
  - » Computer illiteracy is a status symbol
- Bureaucrat's (and dictator's) power is from information control
  - Tianamen Square massacre publicized by student faxes
  - Radio and TV are primary goals of military coups
  - FOIA weakened over 12 years coincident with power abuses
  - Sunshine and FOIA cure abuses without direct laws
- Network was better than mass media
  - Horizontal low-level uncontrolled information flow
- High visibility was a good thing

## **Transferring to Corporations**

- Successful because members would support us
- DCU is a "one member, one vote" cooperative
  - Significant individual power
  - Most members not employees so no job fear
- Stockholder power depends on quantity of stock
  - Power is in the hands of fund managers
  - Individual stockholders not powerful
  - Board of Directors elections are rubber stamps
- Companies must learn to involve employees in the business to survive in the future
  - "Our management systems systematically destroy our people"
    - -Dr. Deming

## **Reflections on Network Communications**

#### • "National Information Infrastructure" - Al Gore

- As great a leap as the Interstate Highway System
- Wholistic connectedness
- Instantaneous transfer of awareness
- Physical proximity is irrelevant
- Access to:
  - The president and vice-president
  - In the future: volumes of political data
    - » Will help clean up politics
    - » People will access data as a hobby
    - » As revolutionary as the Declaration of Independence

#### • PC revolution prevents control by bureaucrats

- Only control mainframe computing
- Modems are controlled in some countries

# **Requirements to be successful: you must have...**

14

- Well defined and popular goal
- Core group of committed people
- Recognition that the power is in the people
- Recognition that bureaucrats power comes from:
  - Inertia
  - Popular disinterest
  - Control of information flow
- Good defense against inevitable retribution
- Rousing event or issue
- Way of communicating to the holders of power
  - Outflank the bureaucrat's communication control

## Suggestions for legislative change

- Make it easier to pierce the "corporate veil"
  - Punish bureaucrats personally for retribution
  - Focus on individuals responsible for decisions
  - Must be balanced against product liability concerns
- Change corporate control to one person, one vote

15

- Empower individual stockholders
- Corporate tax incentives for positive behavior
- Tie officer compensation to corporate performance
- Make BoD elections "real" elections
- Strengthen FOIA laws
- Make all possible government information on line
- Mandate "single party consent" for recording

## Closing

"Changes can come from the power of many but only when the many come together to form that which is invincible... the power of one."
-Bryce Courtenay
"The Power of One"

**Technology can facilitate the power of one.**